



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/511,304

10/15/2004

Takao Koyama

009682-138

9491

Robert G Mukai
Burns Doane Swecker & Mathis
PO Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

7590

11/07/2008

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TUAN N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3751

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/07/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/511,304	Applicant(s) KOYAMA, TAKAO	
	Examiner Tuan N. Nguyen	Art Unit 3751	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan N. Nguyen. (3) ____.

(2) Wendi Weinstein. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: The applicant's representative presented the actual structure of the applicant's pen and the actual pen of the Kirita and Takanashi et al. references.

Claim(s) discussed: newly proposed claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kirita and Takanashi et al. references.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant proposed to amend the claims to further define the ink guiding feed member to be tubular hollow without any ink capillary material therewithin. The examiner agrees that such an amendment would at least overcome the prior art rejection made in the previous office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan N Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751	11/6/08
--	---------